

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,779	06/24/2003	Tetsujiro Kondo	450100-04609	1681	
7590 11/18/2009 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE			DUFFIELD, JEREMY S		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2427		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/602,779 KONDO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JEREMY DUFFIELD 2427 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.29-38 and 55-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,29-38 and 55-58 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 2427

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-11, 29-38, and 55-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 29-34, 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu (US 5,550,928) in view of Tow (US 7,266,771) and further in view of Okada (US 5,907,361).

Regarding claim 1, Lu teaches an audience state estimation system (Fig. 1, 3) comprising:

imaging device for imaging an audience and generating a video signal relative to the audience thus imaged (Col. 8. line 54-Col. 9. line 31):

movement amount detection device for detecting a movement amount of said audience based on said video signal, i.e. tracking a person (Col. 10, lines 6-25); and

estimation device for estimating an audience state based on said movement amount, i.e. determining who is in the area and what they are doing

Art Unit: 2427

based on the face and body recognition along with the motion tracking (Col. 9, line 60-Col. 10, line 49; Col. 11, line 47-Col. 12, line 59; Col. 14, lines 37-65).

Lu does not clearly teach the movement amount detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color; and an estimation device for estimating an audience state based on a comparison result of said movement amount and a predetermined reference level.

Tow teaches dividing an area into blocks (Fig. 2, el. 201, 203, 205, 207, 209; Col. 8, lines 14-65),

calculating movement vectors for each of the blocks (Fig. 2, el. 201, 203, 205, 207, 209; Col. 8, lines 14-65); and

an estimation device for estimating an audience state based on a comparison result of a movement amount and a predetermined reference level, i.e. using a motion information template that corresponds to clapping (Col. 10, line 46-Col. 11, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu to include dividing an area into blocks, calculating movement vectors for each of the blocks; and an estimation device for estimating an audience state based on a comparison result of said movement amount and a predetermined reference level, as taught by Tow, for the purpose of identifying a type of motion energy at a particular place in a video

Art Unit: 2427

and presenting it to a viewer in a graphically intuitive manner (Tow-Col. 3, lines 29-35).

Lu in view of Tow does not clearly teach the movement amount detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color.

Okada teaches the movement amount detection device extracts a fleshcolor area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, i.e. an area is extracted based on the color of a face (Col. 7, lines 16-40; Col. 8, lines 15-27),

divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color (Col. 7, lines 44-67; Col. 8, lines 33-55), and

calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color (Col. 8, lines 55-59; Col. 9, lines 9-14, 40-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu in view of Tow's movement amount detection device to include the movement amount detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color, using the known method of extracting an area of a frame based on the color of a face, as taught by Okada, in combination with the audience estimation system of Lu in view of Tow for the

Art Unit: 2427

purpose of providing improved correlation between an extracted area of an image and a preceding image (Okada-Col. 3, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 2, Lu in view of Tow in view of Okada teaches the movement amount detection device determines movement information of the imaged audience based on said video signal, i.e. images are subtracted one from another to determine if motion has occurred (Lu-Col. 10, lines 6-25), and

using MPEG differential frames that store motion information in the form of motion vectors obtained by determining the difference between adjacent frames (Tow-Col. 6, lines 47-60; Col. 8, lines 14-65); and

wherein an average movement amount showing an average of magnitudes of the movement vectors is set as the movement amount (Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33).

Regarding claim 4, Lu in view of Tow in view of Okada teaches using a sequence of video images to track and locate a target over a time interval (Lu-Col. 12, lines 13-24); using MPEG differential frames that store motion information in the form of motion vectors obtained by determining the difference between adjacent frames (Tow-Col. 6, lines 47-60; Col. 8, lines 14-65); and calculating an average movement amount showing an average of

magnitudes of the movement vectors (Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33), and

Art Unit: 2427

wherein a time macro movement amount is set as the movement amount of said audience, said time macro movement amount being an average of the average movement amounts in a time direction thereof, i.e. the motion vectors have a magnitude and direction over the time period of a frame or several frames (Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33).

Regarding claim 5, Lu in view of Tow in view of Okada teaches when said movement amount is larger than a predetermined level, said estimation device estimates said audience state to be in any one of states of beating time with the hands and of clapping, i.e. using a motion information template that corresponds to clapping (Tow-Col. 10. line 46-Col. 11. line 3).

Regarding claims 29, 30, 55-58, claims are analyzed with respect to claim

1. These are Markush claims that include estimating the audience state based on audio taken from the audience, data of which was assigned to the non-elected Group II of the Restriction/Election Requirement, and likewise all limitations dealing with audio will not be examined.

Regarding claim 31, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Regarding claim 32, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 2.

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 33, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 4.

Regarding claim 34, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 5.

 Claims 6-10 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa (US 7,373,209) and further in view of Okada.

Regarding claim 6, Lu teaches an audience state estimation system (Fig. 1, 3) comprising:

imaging device for imaging an audience and generating a video signal relative to the audience thus imaged (Col. 8, line 54-Col. 9, line 31).

Lu does not clearly teach the movement periodicity detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color; and estimation device for estimating an audience state based on the movement periodicity of said audience.

Tow teaches using MPEG differential frames that store motion information in the form of motion vectors obtained by determining the difference between adjacent frames (Col. 6, lines 47-60; Col. 8, lines 14-65);

the motion vectors have a magnitude and direction over the time period of a frame or several frames (Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33);

Art Unit: 2427

dividing an area into blocks (Fig. 2, el. 201, 203, 205, 207, 209; Col. 8, lines 14-65),

calculating movement vectors for each of the blocks (Fig. 2, el. 201, 203, 205, 207, 209; Col. 8, lines 14-65); and

estimation device for estimating an audience state based on a comparison result of the movement periodicity of said audience and a predetermined reference level, i.e. using a motion information template that corresponds to clapping (Col. 10, line 46-Col. 11, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu to include dividing an area into blocks, calculating movement vectors for each of the blocks; and wherein an average movement amount showing an average of magnitudes of the movement vectors is set as the movement amount of said audience, as taught by Tow, for the purpose of identifying a type of motion energy at a particular place in a video and presenting it to a viewer in a graphically intuitive manner (Tow-Col. 3, lines 29-35).

Lu in view of Tow does not clearly teach the movement periodicity detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color.

Art Unit: 2427

Tagawa teaches detecting periodicity based on an audio signal, i.e. identifying a periodicity of a rhythm or beat in music based on the peaks of an auto-correlation function of the audio (Col. 13, lines 5-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu in view of Tow to include a movement periodicity detection device for detecting movement periodicity of said audience based on said video signal, using the technique taught by Tagawa in combination with the motion vector system taught by Lu in view of Tow, although in different fields of endeavor would provide a predictable variation to the motion vector system and for the purpose of specifically identifying a type of motion for use in a video retrieval system.

Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa does not clearly teach the movement periodicity detection device extracts a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color.

Okada teaches the movement amount detection device extracts a fleshcolor area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, i.e. an area is extracted based on the color of a face (Col. 7, lines 16-40; Col. 8, lines 15-27),

divides the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color (Col. 7, lines 44-67; Col. 8, lines 33-55), and

Art Unit: 2427

calculates movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color (Col. 8, lines 55-59; Col. 9, lines 9-14, 40-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa's movement periodicity detection device to include extracting a flesh-color area which identifies flesh color from said video signal, dividing the flesh-color area into blocks identifying flesh color, and calculating movement vectors for each of the blocks identifying flesh color, using the known method of extracting an area of a frame based on the color of a face, as taught by Okada, in combination with the audience estimation system of Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa for the purpose of providing improved correlation between an extracted area of an image and a preceding image (Okada-Col. 3. lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 7, Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches the movement periodicity detection device determines movement vectors of the imaged audience based on said video signal (Tow-Col. 6, lines 47-60; Col. 8, lines 14-65; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49),

calculates an average movement amount showing an average of magnitudes of the movement vectors (Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33), and detects an autocorrelation maximum position of the average movement amount (Tow-Col. 10, line 57-Col. 11, line 3; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49), and

Art Unit: 2427

wherein variance of the autocorrelation maximum position is set as said movement periodicity (Tagawa-Col. 13. lines 5-49).

Regarding claim 8, Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches the variance is calculated using a signal in a frame range, said frame range being decided on the basis of the periodicity of said audience state to be estimated (Tow-Col. 9, lines 37-55; Col. 10, lines 45-67; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49).

Regarding claim 9, Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches a ratio of low-frequency component in the average movement amount is set as said movement periodicity (Lu-Col. 11, line 48-Col. 12, line 13; Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49). Note: The image is low-pass filtered and the movement periodicity is determined to be the ratio of average magnitudes of the motion vectors distributed over time, such as for clapping.

Regarding claim 10, Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches a frequency range of the low-frequency component is decided according to the periodicity of the said average movement amount transformed to a frequency region to be detected, i.e. identifying the rate and rhythm of clapping

(Lu-Col. 11, line 48-Col. 12, line 13; Tow-Col. 9, line 55-Col. 10, line 33; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49).

Regarding claim 35, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 6.

Regarding claim 36, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 7.

Regarding claim 37, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 9.

 Claims 11 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada and further in view of Stevens (WO 91/03912).

Regarding claim 11, Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches all elements of claim 6.

Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada teaches determining the periodicity, rate, and rhythm of a set of motion vectors (Tow-Col. 10, line 46-Col. 11, line 3; Tagawa-Col. 13, lines 5-49).

Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada does not clearly teach the estimation device estimates said audience state to be in a state of beating time with the hands when said movement periodicity is larger than a predetermined level, and estimates said audience state to be in a state of Art Unit: 2427

clapping when said movement periodicity is not larger than said predetermined level.

Stevens teaches estimating a person to be in a state of beating time with the hands when said movement periodicity is larger than a predetermined level, and estimates a person to be in a state of clapping when said movement periodicity is not larger than said predetermined level, i.e. when a first loud or sharp sound is received a counter is started; when the counter reaches a predetermined level without the system receiving another loud or sharp sound, the system determines that the periodicity of the first and a future second sound would be too large to be clapping; when a second loud or sharp sound is received before the counter reaches the predetermined level, the system determines that the periodicity of the two sounds is within a sufficient range to be clapping (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada to include the estimation device estimates said audience state to be in a state of beating time with the hands when said movement periodicity is larger than a predetermined level, and estimates said audience state to be in a state of clapping when said movement periodicity is not larger than said predetermined level, using the technique taught by Stevens in combination with the motion vector system taught by Lu in view of Tow in view of Tagawa in view of Okada, although in different fields of endeavor would provide a predictable

Art Unit: 2427

variation to the motion vector system and for the purpose of specifically identifying a type of motion for use in a video retrieval system.

Regarding claim 38, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 11.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMY DUFFIELD whose telephone number is (571)270-1643. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8:00 A.M.-5:30 P.M. EST.

Art Unit: 2427

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on (571) 272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

12 November 2009 JSD

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427